arXiv:1405.6105v2 [math.AC] 29 May 2014

AN AFFINE VERSION OF A THEOREM OF NAGATA

GENE FREUDENBURG

Abstract. Let R be an affine k-domain over the field k. The paper's main result is that, if R admits a non-trivial embedding in a polynomial ring $K[s]$ for some field K containing k, then R can be embedded in a polynomial ring $F[t]$ which extends R algebraically. This theorem can be applied to subrings of a ring which admits a non-zero locally nilpotent derivation. In this way, we obtain a concise new proof of the cancellation theorem for rings of transcendence degree one for fields of characteristic zero.

1. Introduction

If $F \subset E$ are fields and $x \in E$, the subfield of E generated by F and x is denoted $F(x)$. If x is transcendental over F, then $F(x)$ is isomorphic to the field of rational functions in one variable over F, and we write $F(x) \cong F^{(1)}$. In his 1967 paper [13], Nagata proved the following fundamental result for fields.

Theorem 1.1. ([13], Thm. 2 and [15], Thm. 5.2) *Let k, K, L be fields such that:*

(i) $k \subset K$ *and* $k \subset L \subset K^{(1)}$
(ii) K *is finitely generated over* k
(iii) $L \not\subset K$

Then there exists a finite algebraic extension of the form $L \subset M^{(1)}$ for some field M containing k.

This result extends the famous theorem of Lüroth, which asserts that, if $k \subset L \subset k(x)$ are fields with $k \neq L$ and x transcendental over k, then there exists $y \in k(x)$ with $L = k(y)$. By combining the theorems of Lüroth and Nagata, we get an even stronger statement for fields of transcendence degree one over k; see the *Appendix*.

We consider an analogous situation for integral domains. The polynomial ring in one variable x over the field F is denoted $F[x] = F^{[1]}$. For the integral domain R, we seek criteria to determine when $R = F^{[1]}$; when $R \subset F^{[1]}$ and $R \not\subset F$; or when $R \subset F^{[1]}$ with $F^{[1]}$ algebraic over R. Our main result is *Theorem 2.1*, which may be regarded as an affine version of Nagata's theorem:

> *Let k be a field, and let R be an affine k-algebra. Suppose that there exists a field K with $R \subset K^{[1]}$ and $R \not\subset K$. Then there exists a field F and an algebraic extension $R \subset F^{[1]}$.*

This result is of particular interest in the setting of locally nilpotent derivations, where we assume that the ground field k is of characteristic zero. If an integral k-domain B admits a non-zero locally nilpotent derivation D, then B is contained in $K[s]$, where K is the field of fractions of the kernel of D and s is a local slice. Thus, any affine subalgebra $R \subset B$ not contained in the Makar-Limanov invariant of B is isomorphic to a non-trivial subring of $F[s]$ for some field F, where $F[s]$ is algebraic over R.

For rings of transcendence degree one over k, *Thm. 3.1* gives an even stronger conclusion.

Date: May 30, 2014.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13B25, 14R10.
Key words and phrases. Lüroth theorem, cancellation problem, locally nilpotent derivation.

Let k be a field, and let R be a k-algebra with $\mathrm{tr.deg}_k R = 1$. Suppose that there exists a field K with $R \subset K^{[1]}$ and $R \not\subset K$. Then R is k-affine and there exists a field F algebraic over k with $R \subset F^{[1]}$. If k is algebraically closed, then there exists $t \in \mathrm{frac}(R)$ with $R \subset k[t]$.

In [1], Abhyankar, Eakin, and Heinzer proved that if R, S are integral domains of transcendence degree one over a field k such that the polynomial rings $R[x_1, ..., x_n]$ and $S[y_1, ..., y_n]$ are isomorphic k-algebras, then R and S are isomorphic. In *Section 4*, we apply *Thm. 3.1*, together with the well-known theorems of Seidenberg and Vasconcelos on derivations, to obtain a short proof of this result in the case k is of characteristic zero. Makar-Limanov gave a proof of this result for $k = \mathbb{C}$ in [12], and we follow his idea to use the Makar-Limanov invariant. Other proofs are given in [4, 5] for the case k is algebraically closed.

1.1. **Background.** Lüroth's Theorem was proved by Lüroth for the field $k = \mathbb{C}$ in 1876, and for all fields by Steinitz in 1910 [11, 19]. One generalization states that, if $k \subset L \subset k(x_1, ..., x_n)$ and L is of transcendence degree one over k, then $L = k(y)$. This was proved by Gordan for $k = \mathbb{C}$ in 1887, and for all fields by Igusa in 1951 [8, 10]; other proofs appear in [13, 17]. In 1894, Castelnuovo showed that, if $\mathbb{C} \subset L \subset \mathbb{C}(x_1, ..., x_n)$ and L is of transcendence degree two over $\mathbb{C}$, then $L = \mathbb{C}(y_1, y_2)$ [2]. Castelnuovo's result does not extend to non-algebraically closed ground fields, or to fields L of higher transcendence degree. An excellent account of ruled fields and their variants can be found in [15], including the theorem of Nagata (Thm. 5.2 of [15]).

For polynomial rings, Evyatar and Zaks [6] showed that if R is a PID and $k \subset R \subset k[x_1, ..., x_n]$, then $R = k^{[1]}$; in [21], Zaks generalized this to the case R is a Dedekind domain. And in [1], (2.5), Abhyankar, Eakin, and Heinzer showed that, if $k \subset R \subset k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ and R is of transcendence degree one over k, then R is isomorphic to a subring of $k^{[1]}$.

The Makar-Limanov invariant of a commutative ring (defined below) was introduced by Makar-Limanov in the mid-1990s, and he called it the ring of *absolute constants*. It is an important invariant in the study of affine rings, affine varieties, and their automorphisms.

1.2. **Preliminaries.** If B is an integral domain, then $\mathrm{frac}(B)$ is the quotient field of B, and $B^{[n]}$ is the polynomial ring in n variables over B. Given $f \in B$, B_f denotes the localization $B[1/f]$. The set of derivations $D : B \to B$ is $\mathrm{Der}(B)$.

If $A \subset B$ is a subring, the transcendence degree of B over A, denoted $\mathrm{tr.deg}_A B$, will mean the transcendence degree of $\mathrm{frac}(B)$ over $\mathrm{frac}(A)$. The set of elements of B algebraic over A is denoted by $\mathrm{Alg}_A B$.

Let k be a field of characteristic zero, and B an integral domain containing k. The set of k-derivations $D : B \to B$ is denoted $\mathrm{Der}_k(B)$. D is said to be *locally nilpotent* if, to each $b \in B$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $D^n b = 0$. The set of locally nilpotent derivations of B is denoted $\mathrm{LND}(B)$. If $D \in \mathrm{LND}(B)$ is non-zero, and A is the kernel of D, then A is algebraically closed in B and $\mathrm{tr.deg}_A(B) = 1$.

The *Makar-Limanov invariant* of B is the intersection of all kernels of locally nilpotent derivations of B, denoted $ML(B)$. Note that $ML(B)$ is a k-algebra which is algebraically closed in B, and that any automorphism of B maps $ML(B)$ into itself.

An element $s \in B$ is a *local slice* of D if $D^2 s = 0$ and $Ds \neq 0$. Note that every non-zero element of $\mathrm{LND}(B)$ admits a local slice. If $s \in B$ is a local slice of D, then:

$$B_{Ds} = A_{Ds}[s] = (A_{Ds})^{[1]} \quad \text{and} \quad D = d/ds \tag{1}$$

This implies the following property: If $Df \in fB$ for some $f \in B$, then $Df = 0$. The reader is referred to [7] for further details regarding locally nilpotent derivations.

We also need the following.

Proposition 1.1. ([14], Prop. 5.1.2) *Let k be a field and A a commutative k-algebra. Then, for any field extension L/k, A is finitely generated over k if and only if $L \otimes_k A$ is finitely generated over L.*

2. Main Theorem

For a field K, the polynomial ring $K[s] = K^{[1]}$ is naturally $\mathbb{Z}$-graded over K, where s is homogeneous of degree one. Let deg be the associated degree function in s over K. A subring $R \subset K[s]$ is *homogeneous* if the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading restricts to R.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $k \subset K$ be fields and $K[s] = K^{[1]}$. Suppose that $R \subset K[s]$ is a homogeneous subring with $k \subset R$ and $R \not\subset K$. Let $L = \mathrm{frac}(R) \cap K$, and let $\hat{L}, \hat{K}$ denote the algebraic closures of L and K, respectively. Then there exists $c \in \hat{K}$ and integer $d \geq 1$ such that $R \subset \hat{L}[cs^d]$ and $\hat{L}[cs^d]$ is algebraic over R.*

Proof. Define the integer:

$$d = \gcd\{\deg r \,|\, r \in R,\, r \neq 0\}$$

Let homogeneous $r \in R$ of positive degree be given. Then there exist $\kappa \in K$ and positive $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $r = \kappa s^{de}$. Let $c \in \hat{K}$ be such that $c^e = \kappa$. Then $r = (cs^d)^e$.

If $\rho \in R$ is any other homogeneous element of positive degree, then $\rho = (c's^d)^{e'}$ for $c' \in \hat{K}$ and positive $e' \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have:

$$\frac{r^{e'}}{\rho^e} = \frac{((cs^d)^e)^{e'}}{((c's^d)^{e'})^e} = \left(\frac{c}{c'}\right)^{ee'} \in L \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{c}{c'} \in \hat{L} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{L}[c's^d] = \hat{L}[cs^d]$$

It follows that $R \subset \hat{L}[cs^d]$. □

Theorem 2.1. *Let k be a field, and let R be an affine k-algebra. Suppose that there exists a field K with $R \subset K^{[1]}$ and $R \not\subset K$. Then there exists a field F and an algebraic extension $R \subset F^{[1]}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $R \subset K[s] = K^{[1]}$. For each $g \in K[s]$, let $\bar{g}$ denote the highest-degree homogeneous summand of g as a polynomial in s. Define the set:

$$\bar{R} = \{\bar{r} \,|\, r \in R\,,\, r \neq 0\,\}$$

Then $k[\bar{R}]$ is a homogeneous subalgebra of $K[s]$ not contained in K.

By *Lemma 2.1*, if $L = \mathrm{frac}(k[\bar{R}]) \cap K$ and if $\hat{L}, \hat{K}$ are the algebraic closures of L and K, respectively, then:

$$k[\bar{R}] \subset \hat{L}[cs^d] \quad (c \in \hat{K}\,,\, d \geq 1) \tag{2}$$

By hypothesis, there exist $w_1, ..., w_m \in R$ $(m \geq 1)$ such that $R = k[w_1, ..., w_m]$. Given i, assume that $w_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n_i} c_{ij} w^j$, where $c_{ij} \in K$. Define $A \subset \hat{K}$ and $B \subset \hat{K}[s]$ by:

$$A = \hat{L}[c, c_{ij} \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq m\,,\, 0 \leq j \leq n_i\,] \quad \text{and} \quad B = A[s] = A^{[1]}$$

Then $R \subset B$, A is finitely generated over $\hat{L}$, and the Jacobson radical of A is trivial. Choose a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of A not containing c.

If $R \cap \mathfrak{m}B \neq (0)$, let non-zero $r \in R \cap \mathfrak{m}B$ be given. Since $\mathfrak{m}B = \mathfrak{m}[s]$, we have $r = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq e} a_i s^i$, where $a_i \in \mathfrak{m}$ for each i. Note that $e \geq 1$, since $\hat{L} \cap \mathfrak{m} = (0)$. Therefore, by equation (2), there exist $\epsilon \geq 1$ and non-zero $\lambda \in \hat{L}$ such that:

$$\bar{r} = a_e s^e = \lambda (cs^d)^\epsilon$$

But then $c \in \mathfrak{m}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $R \cap \mathfrak{m}B = (0)$.

Let $\pi : B \to B/\mathfrak{m}B$ be the canonical surjection of $\hat{L}$-algebras, noting that:

$$B/\mathfrak{m}B = (A/\mathfrak{m}A)[\pi(s)] = \hat{L}^{[1]}$$

Since $\pi(cs^d) = \pi(c)\pi(s)^d$, where $\pi(c) \neq 0$, we see that $\pi|_R$ is a degree-preserving isomorphism. It follows that R is a subring of $\hat{L}^{[1]}$ via π.

It remains to show that R and $\hat{L}^{[1]}$ have the same transcendence degree over k. Since $R \subset \hat{L}^{[1]}$, it will suffice to show $\mathrm{tr.deg}_k \hat{L}^{[1]} \leq \mathrm{tr.deg}_k R$. By *Lemma 2.1*, we see that $\mathrm{tr.deg}_k \hat{L}^{[1]} = \mathrm{tr.deg}_k k[\bar{R}]$, so it will suffice to show $\mathrm{tr.deg}_k k[\bar{R}] \leq \mathrm{tr.deg}_k R$.

Let $n = \dim_k R$, and let $r_1, ..., r_{n+1} \in R$ be given. Then there exists a polynomial $h \in k[x_1, ..., x_{n+1}] = k^{[n+1]}$ with $h(r_1, ..., r_{n+1}) = 0$. If $k[x_1, ..., x_{n+1}]$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded in such a way that each x_i is homogeneous and the degree of x_i is $\deg r_i$, then $H(\bar{r}_1, ..., \bar{r}_{n+1}) = 0$, where H is the highest-degree homogeneous summand of h. We have thus shown that any subset of $n+1$ elements in a generating set for $k[\bar{R}]$ is algebraically dependent over k. Therefore, the transcendence degree of $k[\bar{R}]$ over k is at most n.

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

3. Rings of Transcendence Degree One

Theorem 3.1. *Let k be a field, and let R be a k-algebra with $\mathrm{tr.deg}_k R = 1$. Suppose that there exists a field K with $R \subset K^{[1]}$ and $R \not\subset K$. Then R is k-affine and there exists a field F algebraic over k with $R \subset F^{[1]}$. If k is algebraically closed, then there exists $t \in \mathrm{frac}(R)$ with $R \subset k[t]$.*

Proof. Suppose that $R \subset K[s] = K^{[1]}$, and let deg be the associated degree function in s over K.

Consider first the case k is algebraically closed. The set

$$\Sigma := \{\deg w \,|\, w \in R, w \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{N}$$

is a semigroup, and is therefore finitely generated as a semigroup. Let $w_1, ..., w_m \in R$ be such that $\Sigma =< \deg w_1, ..., \deg w_m >$, and define $S = k[w_1, ..., w_m] \subset R$. Then, given $v \in R$, there exists $u \in S$ such that $\deg u = \deg v$. Assume that $\deg v \geq 1$.

As in the preceding proof, since u and v are algebraically dependent over k, $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$ are also algebraically dependent over k. Since u and v have the same degree, there exists $P \in k[x, y] = k^{[2]}$ which is homogeneous relative to the standard $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $k[x, y]$ such that $P(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = 0$. Write $P(x, y) = \Pi_{1 \leq i \leq \ell}(\alpha_i x + \beta_i y)$, where ℓ is a positive integer and $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in k^*$ $(1 \leq i \leq \ell)$. Then $\alpha_i \bar{u} + \beta_i \bar{v} = 0$ for some i. Therefore, $\deg(\alpha_i u + \beta_i v) < \deg v$ for some i. By induction on degrees, we can assume that $\alpha_i u + \beta_i v \in S$, which implies $v \in S$, and $R = S$. Therefore, R is finitely generated over k when k is algebraically closed.

For general k, let $\hat{k}, \hat{K}$ denote the algebraic closures of k and K, respectively. Set $\hat{R} = \hat{k} \otimes_k R$. Then $\mathrm{tr.deg}_{\hat{k}} \hat{R} = 1$, $\hat{R} \subset \hat{K}^{[1]}$ and $\hat{R} \not\subset \hat{K}$. By what was shown above, we conclude that $\hat{R}$ is affine over $\hat{k}$. Therefore, *Prop. 1.1* implies that R is affine over k.

By *Thm. 2.1*, there exists a field F algebraic over k with $R \subset F^{[1]}$. If k is algebraically closed, then $F = k$ and $k \subset R \subset k[s]$ for some s transcendental over k. If $\mathcal{O}$ is the integral closure of R in $\mathrm{frac}(R)$, then since $k[s]$ is integrally closed, we have $k \subset R \subset \mathcal{O} \subset k[s]$. In this situation, it is known that $\mathcal{O} = k[\theta]$ for some $\theta \in k[s]$; see [3], Prop. 2.1. □

Corollary 3.1. (See [12], Lemma 14) *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let B be a commutative k-domain. Given $r \in B$, if $r \notin ML(B)$, then there exists $t \in \mathrm{frac}(\mathrm{Alg}_{k[r]} B)$ such that $\mathrm{Alg}_{k[r]} B \subset k[t]$.*

Proof. By hypothesis, there exists $D \in \mathrm{LND}(B)$ with $Dr \neq 0$. If $A = \ker D$ and $K = \mathrm{frac}(A)$, then $K \otimes_k B = K^{[1]}$ by equation (1). We therefore have $\mathrm{Alg}_{k[r]} B \subset K^{[1]}$, and $r \notin K$. The result now follows by *Thm. 3.1.* □

Makar-Limanov asked whether this result generalizes to rings of transcendence degree 2: Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let B be a commutative k-domain. Given $x, y \in B$, does the following implication hold?

$$\mathrm{Alg}_{k[x,y]} B \cap ML(B) = k \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{Alg}_{k[x,y]} B \subset k^{[2]}$$

See [12], p.39.

Example 3.1. Let $k \subset K$ be fields, where $K = k[\alpha]$ is a simple algebraic extension of k, and $[K : k] \geq 2$. Define:

$$R = k[u, v] \subset K[s] = K^{[1]}$$

where $u = \alpha s^2$ and $v = \alpha s^3$. Since $s = v/u$ and $\alpha = u^3/v^2$, we see that $\mathrm{frac}(R) = K(s)$. If $R \subset k[t]$ for $t \in \mathrm{frac}(R)$, then $k(t) = \mathrm{frac}(R) = K(s)$, which is not possible. Therefore, the ring R cannot be embedded in $k^{[1]}$. This shows that the hypothesis that the field k be algebraically closed is necessary in the last statement of *Thm. 3.1.*

Example 3.2. As an illustration of *Cor. 3.1*, let $k[x,y] = k^{[2]}$, and write $k[x,y] = \oplus_{i\geq 0} V_i$, where V_i is the vector space of binary forms of degree i over k. Define $D \in \mathrm{LND}(k[x,y])$ by $D = x\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. Then D is linear, meaning that $D(V_i) \subset V_i$ for each i. Therefore, if $B = k[V_2, V_3]$, then D restricts to B. Let R be the algebraic closure of $k[y^2]$ in B, noting that $D(y^2) \neq 0$. Then $R = k[y^2, y^3]$ and $\mathrm{frac}(R) = k(y)$.

4. Cancellation Theorem for Rings of Transcendence Degree One

4.1. Integral Extensions and the Conductor Ideal.

Definition 4.1. Let $A \subset B$ be integral domains. The *conductor of B in A* is:

$$\mathcal{C}_A(B) = \{a \in A \mid aB \subset A\}$$

If $\mathcal{O}$ is the integral closure of A in $\mathrm{frac}(A)$, then the *conductor ideal of A* is $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathcal{O})$.

Note that $\mathcal{C}_A(B)$ is an ideal of both A and B, and is the largest ideal of B contained in A. The following two properties of the conductor are easily verified.

(C.1) $\mathcal{C}_{A^{[n]}}(B^{[n]}) = \mathcal{C}_A(B) \cdot B^{[n]}$ for every $n \geq 0$

(C.2) $D\mathcal{C}_A(B) \subset \mathcal{C}_A(B)$ for every $D \in \mathrm{Der}(B)$ restricting to A

Lemma 4.1. *Let k be a field, let A be an integral domain containing k, and let $\mathfrak{C} \subset A$ be the conductor ideal of A. If A is affine over k, then $\mathfrak{C} \neq (0)$.*

Proof. Since A is affine over k, its normalization $\mathcal{O}$ is also affine over k, and is finitely generated as an A-module (see [9], Ch. I, Thm. 3.9A). Let $\{\omega_1, ..., \omega_n\}$ be a generating set for $\mathcal{O}$ as an A-module, and let non-zero $a \in A$ be such that $a\omega_1, ..., a\omega_n \in A$. Then $a \in \mathfrak{C}$. □

Theorem 4.1. (Seidenberg [18]) *Let A be a noetherian integral domain containing $\mathbb{Q}$, and let $\mathcal{O}$ be the integral closure of A in* $\mathrm{frac}(A)$. *Then every $D \in \mathrm{Der}(A)$ extends to $\mathcal{O}$.*

Theorem 4.2. (Vasconcelos [20]) *Let $A \subset A'$ be integral domains containing $\mathbb{Q}$, where A' is an integral extension of A. If $D \in \mathrm{LND}(A)$ extends to $D' \in \mathrm{Der}(A')$, then $D' \in \mathrm{LND}(A')$.*

4.2. The Theorem of Abhyankar, Eakin, and Heinzer.

Theorem 4.3. ([1], (3.3)) *Let k be a field, and let R, S be integral k-domains of transcendence degree one over k. If $R^{[n]} \cong_k S^{[n]}$ for some $n \geq 0$, then $R \cong_k S$.*

Proof. (characteristic $k = 0$) Since R is algebraically closed in $R^{[n]}$, we have:

$$\mathrm{Alg}_k(R^{[n]}) = \mathrm{Alg}_k(R)$$

Let $\alpha : R^{[n]} \to S^{[n]}$ be an isomorphism of k-algebras. If $k' = \mathrm{Alg}_k(R)$, then $\alpha(k') = \mathrm{Alg}_k(S)$, since S is algebraically closed in $S^{[n]}$. Therefore, identifying k' and $\alpha(k')$, we can view R and S as k'-algebras, and α as a k'-isomorphism. It thus suffices to assume that k is algebraically closed in R.

Since $ML(R^{[n]}) \subset ML(R)$, we see that $ML(R^{[n]})$ is an algebraically closed subalgebra of R. Therefore, either $ML(R^{[n]}) = R$ or $ML(R^{[n]}) = k$.

Case 1: $ML(R^{[n]}) = R$. In this case, we also must have $ML(S^{[n]}) = S$. Since α maps the Makar-Limanov invariant onto itself, we conclude that $\alpha(R) = S$.

Case 2: $ML(R^{[n]}) = k$. We will show that $R = k^{[1]}$ in this case. It suffices to assume that k is an algebraically closed field: If $\hat{k}$ is the algebraic closure of k, and $\hat{R} = \hat{k} \otimes_k R$, then $ML(\hat{R}^{[n]}) = \hat{k}$. If

this implies $\hat{R} = \hat{k}^{[1]}$, then $R = k^{[1]}$. (All forms of the affine line over a perfect field are trivial; see [16].)

So assume that k is algebraically closed. By hypothesis, there exists $D \in \mathrm{LND}(R^{[n]})$ with $DR \neq 0$. If $\mathcal{O}$ is the integral closure of R in $\mathrm{frac}(R)$, then $\mathcal{O}^{[n]}$ is the integral closure of $R^{[n]}$ in $\mathrm{frac}(R^{[n]})$. By property (C.1), if $\mathfrak{C}$ is the conductor ideal of R, then $\mathfrak{C} \cdot \mathcal{O}^{[n]}$ is the conductor ideal of $R^{[n]}$.

Let s be a local slice of D, and let $K = \mathrm{frac}(\ker D)$. Then by equation (1), $R \subset K[s]$ and $R \not\subset K$. By *Thm. 3.1*, R is k-affine, and there exists $t \in \mathrm{frac}(R)$ such that $\mathcal{O} = k[t]$. By the theorems of Seidenberg and Vasconcelos, D extends to a locally nilpotent derivation of $\mathcal{O}^{[n]}$; and by property (C.2), $D(\mathfrak{C} \cdot \mathcal{O}^{[n]}) \subset \mathfrak{C} \cdot \mathcal{O}^{[n]}$.

By *Lemma 4.1*, $\mathfrak{C} \neq 0$. Since $\mathfrak{C}$ is an ideal of $k[t]$, there exists non-zero $h \in R$ with $\mathfrak{C} = h \cdot k[t]$. Thus, $\mathfrak{C} \cdot O^{[n]} = h \cdot \mathcal{O}^{[n]}$ and $D(h \cdot \mathcal{O}^{[n]}) \subset h \cdot \mathcal{O}^{[n]}$. Therefore, $Dh = 0$. If $h \notin k$, then $k[h] \subset \ker D$ implies $R \subset \ker D$, which is not the case. Therefore, $h \in k^*$ and $R = k[t]$. By symmetry, $S = k^{[1]}$. □

5. Appendix

Combining the theorems of Lüroth and Nagata gives the following corollary.

Corollary 5.1. *Suppose that $k \subset L$ are fields, where k is algebraically closed, L is finitely generated over k, and $\mathrm{tr.deg}_k L = 1$. If there exists a field E containing k such that $L \subset E^{(1)}$ and $L \not\subset E$, then $L = k^{(1)}$.*

Proof. Assume that $L \subset E(s) = E^{(1)}$. Let $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n \in L$ be such that $L = k(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$. Choose $f_i(s), g_i(s) \in E[s]$ such that $\alpha_i = f_i/g_i$, and let K be the subfield of E generated by the coefficients of f_i and g_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then K is finitely generated over k, and $L \subset K(s)$. By Nagata's theorem, there exists a finite algebraic extension $L \subset M^{(1)}$ for some field M containing k. Since the transcendence degree of L over k is one, we see that M is algebraic over k, i.e., $M = k$. The corollary now follows by Lüroth's Theorem. □

We conclude by asking if the analogue of *Thm. 2.1* holds for Laurent polynomial rings: Let k be a field, and let R be an affine k-algebra. Suppose that there exists a field K with $R \subset K^{[\pm 1]}$ and $R \not\subset K$. Does it follow that there exists a field F and an algebraic extension $R \subset F^{[\pm 1]}$?

References

[1] S. Abhyankar, P. Eakin, and W. Heinzer, *On the uniqueness of the coefficient ring in a polynomial ring*, J. Algebra **23** (1972), 310–342.
[2] G. Castelnuovo, *Sulla razionalità delle involuzioni piane*, Math. Ann. **44** (1894), 125–155.
[3] P.M. Cohn, *Subalgebras of free associative algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **14** (1964), 618–632.
[4] A. Crachiola, *On the AK-Invariant of Certain Domains*, Ph.D. thesis, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, 2004.
[5] A. Crachiola and L. Makar-Limanov, *On the rigidity of small domains*, J. Algebra **284** (2005), 1–12.
[6] A. Evyatar and A. Zaks, *Rings of polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (1970), 559–562.
[7] G. Freudenburg, *Algebraic Theory of Locally Nilpotent Derivations*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 136, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2006.
[8] P. Gordan, *Über biquadratische Gleichungen*, Math. Ann. **29** (1887), 318–326.
[9] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, GTM, vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
[10] J. Igusa, *On a theorem of Lueroth*, Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A. Math. **26** (1951), 251–253.
[11] J. Lüroth, *Beweis eines Satzes über rationale Kurven*, Math. Ann. **9** (1876), 163–165.
[12] L. Makar-Limanov, *Locally nilpotent derivations, a new ring invariant and applications*, Lecture notes, Bar-Ilan University, 1998. Avail. at `http://www.math.wayne.edu/~lml/`.
[13] M. Nagata, *A theorem on valuation rings and its applications*, Nagoya Math. J. **29** (1967), 85–91.
[14] A. Nowicki, *Polynomial Derivations and their Rings of Constants*, Uniwersytet Mikolaja Kopernika, Toruń, 1994.
[15] J. Ohm, *On ruled fields*, Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Bordeaux **1** (1988-1989), 27–49.
[16] K.P. Russell, *Forms of the affine line and its additive group*, Pacific J. Math. **32** (1970), 527–539.
[17] P. Samuel, *Some remarks on Lüroth's Theorem*, Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A. Math. **27** (1953), 223–224.
[18] A. Seidenberg, *Derivations and integral closure*, Pacific J. Math. **16** (1966), 167–173.
[19] E. Steinitz, *Algebraische Theorie der Körper*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **137** (1910), 167–309.

[20] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Derivations of commutative noetherian rings*, Math Z. **112** (1969), 229–233.
[21] A. Zaks, *Dedekind subrings of $k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ are rings of polynomials*, Israel J. Math. **9** (1971), 285–289.

Department of Mathematics
Western Michigan University
Kalamazoo, Michigan 49008
gene.freudenburg@wmich.edu